Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/929,865	HENDERSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BJ Forman	1634
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>BJ Forman</u> .	(3) Nickie Einerson	•
(2) <u>Chris Austin</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 2 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Sexhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GES	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumr</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
,		
	•	
M		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representat	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Austin to discuss support for the claim amendments concerning independent movement of the controllers. Mr. Austin cited page 7, first full paragraph, page 8 last paragraph and page 15 first full paragraph. During a brief discussion of the citations, the examiner stated that at least one defined independent movement of the x-y translation stage and x-y controller and the cited passages seemed to be teaching independencs of the z controller.. The examiner stated that the citations would be reviewed for supporting teaching.